

**From:** [Noreen Walsh](#)  
**To:** [Edwin Roberson](#)  
**Cc:** [Stephanie Carman](#); [pat deibert](#); [Mark Sattelberg](#); [Michael Thabault](#); [Matt Kales](#); [Gary Frazer](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Locatable Minerals Management Action for ADPP  
**Date:** Friday, January 30, 2015 12:54:27 PM

---

Ed, yes, correct, we just verified that with Dan. This map represents an agreed upon approach.

Noreen

**From:** Roberson, Edwin [mailto:[eroberso@blm.gov](mailto:eroberso@blm.gov)]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 30, 2015 8:19 AM  
**To:** Noreen Walsh  
**Cc:** Stephanie Carman  
**Subject:** Fwd: Locatable Minerals Management Action for ADPP

Noreen, The map and guidance below is what we got from our Wyoming folks. They believe it represents the discussions Dan has been engaged in. We want to verify. Thanks. ed

Subject: Locatable Minerals Management Action for ADPP  
To: Stephanie Carman <[scarman@blm.gov](mailto:scarman@blm.gov)>

*All the below would be included in Management Action 79 of the Wyoming 9-Plan*

In Addition to Alternative A [Portions of sage-grouse core habitat are withdrawn from mineral entry for the protection of sensitive resources].

Recommendation for withdrawal from mineral entry would be proposed based on risk to the sage-grouse and its habitat from conflicting locatable mineral potential and development.

The withdrawal of sage grouse core and connectivity habitat areas from mineral entry would be considered for recommendation, based on risk to sage-grouse and its habitat from conflicting locatable mineral potential and development (Map 2-23).

Existing [mining] claims within the withdrawal area subject to validity exams or buy out. Claims that have been subsequently determined to be null and void in the recommended withdrawal would be included.